Biomass and Hydrocarbon Production by *Botryococcus braunii* Using Supplemented Secondary Treated Wastewater
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**A B S T R A C T**

The treatment of wastewater has been traditionally done by physical, chemical and biological process. A sequence of experiments was carried out to determine the best concentration of secondary treated wastewater that could be used as growth medium for *B. braunii*. It was found that 50% (v/v) of treated wastewater and 50% (v/v) of modified BG\(_1\) medium was adequate for microalgae growth. Different concentrations of three salts: sodium nitrate, ferric citrate and dipotassium phosphate were tested using central composite design (DCCR), and dipotassium phosphate was found to be statistically significant at 0.12 g·l\(^{-1}\) of this salt. Optimization of CO\(_2\) concentration and light intensity showed that 70\(\mu\)mol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\) of light intensity produced biomass concentration of 1.77 g·l\(^{-1}\) with 21.6% of hydrocarbons. The qualitative and quantitative identification of hydrocarbon constituents generated was performed by external standard in gas chromatography. Calibration curves were composed of hydrocarbons from 5 at 32 carbons chain.

1. Introduction

The microalgae, *Botryococcus braunii* has the ability to synthesize and accumulate lipids and apolar substances, including hydrocarbons that can be used as an alternative of fossil fuels, if cost-effective technologies are employed [Lupi et al., 1994; An JY et al., 2003; Aravantinou AF et al., 2013]. Despite these benefits, the implementation of microalgal growth requires light, water, CO\(_2\) and inorganic nutrients, which are key factors to develop sustainable process in large-scale. Therefore, the productivity is a function of different factors, such as pH, CO\(_2\) concentration, light irradiation, salinity and temperature. It was reported that *B. braunii* converted 3% of incident solar energy into hydrocarbons, fixing atmospheric CO\(_2\). It was also estimated that about 183 tons of CO\(_2\) can be captured when 100 tons of microalgal biomass is produced, therefore, burning microalgal hydrocarbons does not significantly contribute to atmospheric CO\(_2\) accumulation, and is hence considered a green process [Razeghifard, 2013; Banerjee et al., 2002]. The marine phytoplankton is considered to be the most efficient photosynthetic carbon fixer on earth, but at a smaller scale, the freshwater microalgae also play a similar role in carbon cycle [Ramaraj et al., 2014].

The secondary treated wastewater used in this study possessed 887 ppm dissolved CO\(_2\) that photosynthetic organisms could use as alternative carbon source.

Microalgae are potentially sustainable source for biofuel production as feedstock and do not compete with food, which could help to reduce the dependence of fossil fuels. The new studies tried to reduce the production costs and increase the biomass yield. For this, it is necessary to improve the research efforts to test efficient culture media and develop bioreactors at large scale [Lupi et al., 1994; Pereira et al., 2012].

The production of hydrocarbon biofuel as alternative to fossil fuels need sustainable low cost process using less expensive raw materials, such as domestic or industrial effluents. These effluents comprise nutrients, bringing advantages, such as low cost production in microalgae production, for different purposes, including biodiesel and lipids production [Banerjee et al., 2002; Sydney et al., 2011; Di Termini et al., 2011].

It has been demonstrated that microalgae enhance their neutral lipids content under stress conditions, such as nutrient starvation, however, this increase is often coupled with biomass decrease [Bertozzini et al., 2011]. Some attempts to assemble a continuous process for the production of biomass have been developed using wastewater as growth medium.
Microalgae cultivation has been long considered as an alternative to wastewater treatment, mainly due to their capacity to deplete available nitrogen, phosphorus and other nutrients from municipal wastewater, which are exigent for the tertiary wastewater treatment process [Unnithan & Smith, 2014; Dickinson et al., 2013].

The aim of this work was the use of secondary treated wastewater as culture media for *B. braunii* supplemented by an optimization study to determine the optimal salt concentrations [sodium nitrate, ferric citrate and dipotassium phosphate], CO$_2$ (carbon dioxide) concentration and light intensity, for the production of biomass and hydrocarbons. As the secondary treated wastewater used in this work come from an anaerobic process, the characterization shows that it has low concentration of some nutrients that are important for microalgae. Despite of this feature generated daily volume makes it attractive for use in the massive cultivation of economically interesting microalgae.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microorganisms

The strains tested were: *B. braunii* (UTEX LB 572) obtained from the UTEX, the Culture Collection of Algae, University of Texas, USA and *B. braunii* (CCAP 807/2) obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa, UK. Stock cultures were maintained in agar slants and in liquid cultures of BG$_2$, medium with regular sub culturing. *B. braunii* (CCAP 807/2) was used in this study (screening data not shown).

2.2. Culture conditions

The secondary treated domestic wastewater (digested sewage) was provided by the Sanitation Company of Paraná SANEPAR, Curitiba, Brazil. The digested sewage was used initially treated anaerobically by the action of microorganisms in the absence of air or elemental oxygen, conducted in the Up flow Anaerobic Sludge Reactor operating in Curitiba – Brazil [Leitão et al., 2006]. This was collected at ETE South Atuba Station which is the largest anaerobic wastewater treatment plant of Paraná and benefit around 580,000 inhabitants of 14 districts of Curitiba, with a current capacity of treatment of 1,860 L s$^{-1}$. This digested sewage contains less biodegradable carbon and lower concentration of inorganic nutrients as nitrogen and phosphorus [Chemicharo et al., 2015]. The synthetic media for *B. braunii* growth, salts and quantities were the medium CHU, [Largeou et al., 1980], BG$_1$ [Tran H-L et al., 2010; Dayananda et al., 2007], and the medium 3N-BBM [Sydney et al., 2011].

2.3. Secondary treated wastewater (Digested sewage)

2.3.1. Preparation

The secondary treated wastewater was collected and transported to the Laboratory of Bioprocess Engineering at Federal University of Paraná. It was sterilized in autoclave at 121°C for 15 min, cooled and stored at -20°C.

2.3.2. Secondary treated wastewater chemical analysis

The composition of ions in the treated wastewater was determined using a 761 Compact IC 817 Bioscan chromatograph [APHA, 1995]. Column for anion determination: METROSEP A Supp S 250/4.0 (Metrohm), 250 m x 4.0 mm ID. Analytical conditions used were as follows: as mobile phase 1.0 mM NaHCO$_3$ (Metrohm), 250 ml x 4.0 mm ID. Analytical conditions were as mobile phase 1.0 mM NaHCO$_3$, 250 ml x 4.0 mm ID. Analytical conditions used were as follows: as mobile phase 1.0 mM NaHCO$_3$, and 3.2 mM Na$_2$CO$_3$, 0.7 mL min$^{-1}$, room temperature of 25°C, injected volume 20 µL. A standard curve was prepared for the following anions (F$^-$, Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, NO$_2^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$, SO$_4^{2-}$). Column for cations determination: METROSEP C3 250/4.0 (Metrohm), 250 ml x 4.0 mm ID. Analytical conditions: as mobile phase 3.5 mM HNO$_3$, 0.9 mL min$^{-1}$, 40°C, injection volume 20 µL. A standard curve was prepared for the following cations (Na$^+$, NH$_4^+$, K$^+$, Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$).

2.3.3. Analysis for Identification of Hydrocarbons

The composition of hydrocarbons was determined using a Shimadzu chromatograph. Total Hydrocarbons (TH) was extracted from biomass using n-Heptane, Chloroform and Methanol. Briefly, 100 mg of dried biomass were dissolved in 1 mL of n-heptane or chloroform/methanol (Mallinckrodt Chemicals, Saint Louis, MO, U.S.A.) and was determined by gas chromatography-flame ionization detection (GC-FID) and gas chromatography-Mass spectrometry detection (GC-MS). GC analysis was performed using a Shimadzu GC 14B gas chromatography equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) (Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan) and Shimadzu MSQP 2010 SE a DB-23 capillary column (60 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 im) (J&W Scientific, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, U.S.A.). All parameters used for the GC run, described below, were optimized during this study. The injector and detector temperatures were 235 and 260°C, respectively. Inlet pressure was 250 kPa, linear gas velocity was 14.5 m s$^{-1}$ and split ratio was 1:63. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 0.71 mL min$^{-1}$, with injection volumes of 1 µL and 2 µL. Baseline separation was achieved at an oven temperature of 200°C and running time of 11 min. A standard curve was prepared for the following Hydrocarbon test Mix (cod. No. 48244, 47101, 47102, 47100) obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The GC-FID chromatographic retention data was used being with support MS data, providing an independent parameter on which to base compounds identity. The reproducibility and reliability of retention indices allows assigning of identity to unknown components with greater confidence. The GC-MS analyses and the GC-FID quantitative analyses in the present investigation were all performed using the same column and instrumental conditions.

2.3.4. Biomass Production

Samples were collected from each experiment at 15, 25 and 36 days. The biomass was removed by centrifugation in a centrifuge Sorvall Legend Mach 1.6 R at 3465 x g for 15 min at 10°C. Later, the sample was washed with distilled water, centrifuged and dried at 60°C, until constant weight. Dry weight of microalgal biomass was determined gravimetrically and expressed as concentration of dry biomass per liter of medium (g L$^{-1}$). The dried biomass was analyzed to determine the hydrocarbons production.

2.4. Hydrocarbon extraction

2.4.1. External hydrocarbons extraction

The dried biomass of *B. braunii* was extracted with hexane at room temperature for 1 hour and the process was performed in duplicates using a mass: volume ratio of 1:25. [Achitouv et al., 2004]

After the hydrocarbons extraction, the biomass was separated from the solvent phase by centrifugation at 3465 x g for 15 min. The extracted fractions were mixed and concentrated under vacuum at 40°C. The amount of external hydrocarbons was gravimetrically obtained and expressed in percentage (%) grams of dry hydrocarbons per grams of dry biomass x 100.

2.4.2. Internal hydrocarbons extraction

In the sequence, the dried biomass of *B. braunii* extracted with hexane, was used for the extraction of internal hydrocarbons. The extraction was performed according to Folch method [Gritti et al., 2012]. Cells were lysed by adding a mixture of chloroform and methanol (2:1, v/v) at the proportion of 20 times the amount of the sample (20 mL of solvent for 1 g of biomass). The suspension was stirred for 20 min at room temperature in a shaker.

The lower chloroform phase containing the hydrocarbons or weakly polar compounds was evaporated under vacuum in a rotary evaporator. The extract was centrifuged to recover the liquid phase; it was washed with a solution of NaCl 0.9% (m/v) (4 mL to 20 mL of extract). After vortexing for one minute, the extract was centrifuged at low speed 554 x g for 5 min to separate the two phases. The aqueous phase containing polar molecules was removed with pipet. The organic phase, contains hydrocarbons was concentrated in a vacuum oven at 40°C. The amount of internal hydrocarbons was obtained gravimetrically and expressed as a percentage (%), grams of dry hydrocarbons per grams of dry biomass x 100.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The experiment was performed in 250 mL flasks with a medium volume of 50 mL, inoculum concentration of 0.1 g L$^{-1}$, temperature of 25 ± 1°C and photoperiod of 12 h:12 h, in shaker (TECNAL, Model: TE-1401). The agitation was fixed at 100 rpm. A single factorial design was conducted for 15 days. The effects of five dilutions of secondary treated wastewater were evaluated, performed in 5 levels (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% v/v) of secondary treated wastewater diluted in modified BG$_1$. The results were analyzed using one way ANOVA procedure.

2.5.2. Optimization of the culture medium

The optimization of *B. braunii* biomass and hydrocarbon production was performed for 15 days, in 250 mL flasks, working volume of 50 mL, inoculums of 0.1 g L$^{-1}$. The medium and flasks were sterilized in autoclave for 15 min at 121°C. Growth was conducted in a shaker 100 rpm (TECNAL, Model: TE-1401. Brazil), cells were grown at 25 ± 1°C under cool-white fluorescent illumination, 45 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ light...
The influence of three substances was studied (NaNO₃, K₂HPO₄ and ferric ammonium citrate), using a DCCR design with 16 runs and 1 central point, to evaluate the interaction between the three salts at the biomass production, internal and external hydrocarbon accumulation. The effect of each factor was evaluated using STATISTICA 7 (StatSoft 1984-2004, USA) statistical software. The other nutrients necessary for the growth of microalgae were added according to the BG₁₁ media formulation.

2.5.3. Influence of CO₂ concentration and light intensity

The experiments were performed for 25 days in a 6 L Erlenmeyer, using a volume of 3 L. The culture medium was 50% (v/v) treated wastewater and 50% medium BG₁₁ modified (optimized concentrations of salts), inoculum of 0.2 g of biomass L⁻¹, aeration 0.5 air volume per medium volume per minute (vvm), temperature 25 °C ± 1 °C, and photoperiod of 16:8 h light dark cycle. A full factorial experimental design of two factors in two levels was employed, with a triplicate in central point for a total of seven experiments. Light irradiance of 12.6, 49 and 70 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ was tested. For CO₂ influence as a culture condition, the three following concentrations were evaluated: 0, 50 and 100 ppm, the inlet of air and CO₂ were independent in the photobioreactor. The CO₂ was injected every two hours during the daylight hours of the photoperiod. The effect of the CO₂ influence was evaluated in STATISTICA 7 (StatSoft 1984-2004, USA) statistical software.

2.6. Scale up in Photo-bioreactor

The scale up was performed at the optimal conditions determined. A photo-bioreactor New Brunswick Bioreactor illuminated with cool white 32 W fluorescent lamps was used. The CO₂ injection was performed as described earlier. The whole experiment was carried out over 36 day of duration, the biomass and hydrocarbon was measured on day 24. The whole experiment was carried out over 36 day of duration, the biomass and hydrocarbon was measured on day 24. The effect of the CO₂ and the main bio characteristics at the different tested secondary treated wastewater percentages (the p value < 0.1), from the statistical analysis, it was found that the potassium phosphate were chosen as the salts to be studied. Table 2 presents the one-way ANOVA results with a statistical significance of 95% (p value: 0.05), showed that no significant difference existed between the different tested secondary treated wastewater percentages (the p value obtained 0.3857). As seen in Figure 1, the biomass concentration of each treatment is almost constant for the overall concentration of secondary treated wastewater. In order to promote adaptation of B. braunii cells to the secondary treated wastewater as an alternative medium, 50% v/v was chosen to continue with the following experiments, based on microscopic analysis of the cells, evaluating shape and culture color.

### Table 1. Composition of nutrients of synthetic media and secondary treated wastewater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment Wastewater (ppm)</th>
<th>BG₁₁ modified (ppm)</th>
<th>CHU₁₁ modified (ppm)</th>
<th>3N-BBM (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>33.58</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>49.08</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>364.71</td>
<td>122.77</td>
<td>547.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>154.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>84.07</td>
<td>63.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>141.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>212.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>38.98</td>
<td>29.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. B. braunii biomass production (g·L⁻¹) with different percentage of secondary treated wastewater**

Nitrate concentration lower than 200 ppm improves the production of hydrocarbons, however, concentrations higher than 1,000 ppm interferes with the hydrocarbon production [Banerjee et al., 2002]. This result encourages the use of secondary treated wastewater as alternative medium for microalgae growth, as some other authors have already proven. Osundeko et al. (2013) showed that the Chlorella luteoviridis and Parachlorella hussii, could grow adequately in raw wastewater due to their substantial tolerance to oxidative stress, highly induced by the wastewater environment [Osundeko et al., 2013]. Phosphorus is necessary for the growth of B. braunii and the growth medium are usually supplemented with salts, such as K₂HPO₄. The active growth persists, until the complete consumption of phosphate in the medium [Kassim & Meng, 2017].

Another important factor is the ammonium concentration, when cells are exposed to 5 mM NH₄⁺ for 24 hours, the nitrate reductase enzyme is inactivated and this is detrimental to cells [Banerjee et al., 2002]. The toxicity caused by NH₄⁺ is expressed during late exponential phase and the damage caused is irreversible [Lupi et al., 1994, Banerjee et al., 2002]. For this reason, the ammonium concentration in the culture media must be near zero; the secondary treated wastewater has a concentration of 1 mM of NH₄⁺, but this amount does not significantly affect the development B. braunii.

### 3.2. Statistical analysis

#### 3.2.1. Concentration of secondary treated wastewater

The one-way ANOVA results with a statistical significance of 95% (p value: 0.05), showed that no significant difference existed between the different tested secondary treated wastewater percentages (the p value obtained 0.3857). As seen in Figure 1, the biomass concentration of each treatment is almost constant for the overall concentration of secondary treated wastewater. In order to promote adaptation of B. braunii cells to the secondary treated wastewater as an alternative medium, 50% v/v was chosen to continue with the following experiments, based on microscopic analysis of the cells, evaluating shape and culture color.
that the minimum concentration of ferric citrate and potassium phosphate for biomass production was 39 and 32 ppm, respectively.

The biomass productivity obtained prior to the optimization of salt concentration was 20.6 mg·L$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$. After optimization, the production of biomass increased three times, reaching 63.3 mg·L$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$, this productivity is good enough for 15 days, for some other authors attaining the following biomass productivity evaluating different culture medium and optimizing other parameters from the culture conditions of the same microalgae. After proving with different medium, photoperiod and shaking in 6 weeks Dayananda et al. (2007) produced 47.6 mg·L$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$ of B. braunii biomass, for other study of pH and carbon dioxide for a period of 3 weeks produced 40.9 mg·L$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$ of B. braunii.

Figure 3(a) shows the Pareto chart and Figure 3(b) presents the response surface of internal hydrocarbons as a function of sodium nitrate and potassium phosphate. Internal hydrocarbons were obtained in lower quantity compared with external hydrocarbons, due to their dynamics in the growth kinetics of B. braunii. Achitouv (2004) obtained 3.6% of internal hydrocarbons while the external hydrocarbons 13.2% of the biomass. As the extraction of the hydrocarbons was performed at the early stationary phase, more external hydrocarbons were accumulated than internal hydrocarbons, this is a normal process reported by other authors as well. In the best result of this experiment 11.23% of external hydrocarbons were obtained and 5.82% of internal hydrocarbons.

Pareto chart presented in Figure 4(a) and the response surface in Figure 4(b) showed the influence of parameters on the external hydrocarbons. For a 90% of significance level, the external hydrocarbons were not influenced by the concentration and variation of salt. External hydrocarbons produced by B. braunii, such as botryococcenes, methylated squalenes and long chain triterpenes [Metzger & Largeau, 2005] were accumulated in the stationary phase of growth, when most of the nutrients are depleted; so that very small amounts of the salts are required for hydrocarbons accumulation.

B. braunii strain A produces alkadien and trien as external hydrocarbons [Banerjee et al., 2002]. Also, some studies proved that this strain is a rich source of lipids, including fatty acids, epoxides, alkyl phenols, and lipid ethers as internal hydrocarbons [Achitouv et al., 2004]. Some of the internal hydrocarbons, such as oleic acid are direct precursors of external hydrocarbons, such as-alkadienes. The intracellular concentration of oleic acid remained relatively low during rapid production of external hydrocarbons [Banerjee et al., 2002]. This explained the lower production of the internal hydrocarbons in comparison with the external hydrocarbons.

---

**Table 2.** Central composite design for inorganic salts concentration evaluation for B. braunii biomass and hydrocarbon production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>NaNO$_3$ Code</th>
<th>NaNO$_3$ g·L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>K$_2$HPO$_4$ Code</th>
<th>K$_2$HPO$_4$ g·L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Ferric Citrate Code</th>
<th>Ferric Citrate g·L$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>external HC %</th>
<th>internal HC %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>7.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>7.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>9.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>9.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.050</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>6.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>7.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
design is presented in Table 3. Biomass production and percentage of external and internal hydrocarbons were chosen as response variables. The hydrocarbons production is shown in Table 3.

With a significance of 90%, the light incidence was the major factor, which influenced the biomass production of \( \textit{B. braunii} \) (\( p \) value 0.0195).

### 3.2.3. Influence of \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration and light intensity

The \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration and light intensity on the biomass production of microalgae \( \textit{B. braunii} \) was studied using an experimental design of two factors with two levels, with triplicate in central point; and the experimental design is presented in Table 3. Biomass production and percentage of external and internal hydrocarbons were chosen as response variables. The hydrocarbons production is shown in Table 3.

With a significance of 90%, the light incidence was the major factor, which influenced the biomass production of \( \textit{B. braunii} \) (\( p \) value 0.0195).

### Table 3. Box Hunter & Hunter statistical design to evaluate \( \text{CO}_2 \) and Light intensity in \( \textit{B. braunii} \) culture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>( \text{CO}_2 ) (Coded)</th>
<th>( \text{CO}_2 ) (ml L(^{-1})min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Light (Coded)</th>
<th>Light (mmol.m(^{-2}).s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Biomass (g·L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>External hydrocarbons (%)</th>
<th>Internal hydrocarbons (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.442</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.772</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.274</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The optimal light intensity was 70 mol·m⁻²s⁻¹ with a productivity of 63 mg·L⁻¹·day⁻¹ of dry biomass, a yield of 21.6% of external hydrocarbons and internal hydrocarbons yield was 1.4%. In this experiment external hydrocarbons were higher than internal, light incidence affected external hydrocarbon accumulation due to the bond between external hydrocarbons and biomass at the early stationary phase as described earlier. In some studies, other physical and chemical parameters were evaluated. Sydney et al. (2011) used the same strain for potential biofuel screening and at 14 days the biomass concentration was 0.48 g L⁻¹, this means a productivity of 34.3 mg L⁻¹·day⁻¹. Kassim and Meng (2017) study the CO₂ biofixation by Chlorella sp. and Tetraselmis suecica with a biomass concentration of 0.64 g L⁻¹ and 0.72 g L⁻¹ respectively.

The cultivation of microalgae using artificial lighting at different intensities showed that the biomass concentration increased with the light intensity, without influencing the accumulation of internal hydrocarbons. The relation of the biomass production, internal hydrocarbons and external hydrocarbons accumulation with the light and CO₂ concentration are depicted at Figure 5, a, b and c, by the Pareto charts of standardized effects.

The CO₂ concentration levels proved to have no statistical significance on B. braunii biomass production or hydrocarbon accumulation even though CO₂ is the microalgae carbon source and it is necessary for photosynthesis, also convert the dissolved carbon dioxide into organic cellular components (proteins, carbohydrates, lipids and nucleic acid) [Cabello, 2017]. It is known that microalgae operate a CO₂ concentrating mechanism to overcome the poor CO₂ affinity of the major carbon-fixing enzyme, ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase. CO₂ concentrating mechanism is most likely to be the molecular mechanism underlying the possible enhancement of microalgae carbon fixation efficiency during growth in carbon-limited environment [Ramaraj et al., 2014]. Cultivation of microalgae using CO₂ not only affects its growth, but it could also affect microalgae metabolism and the chemical composition distribution in its biomass [Kassim & Meng, 2017].

### 3.3. Kinetics validation in photobioreactor

Figure 6 presents the kinetics of biomass production during 36 days of B. braunii cultivation in a 9 L photobioreactor. During the validation, 1.88 g·L⁻¹ of biomass was obtained; nitrate remained at a concentration below the detection value at 0.01 g·L⁻¹ for the used method, described in the section 2.3.2. The removal of the nutrients was about 93.75%.

The maximum cell concentration achieved (Xₘₐₓ) was 1.88 g·L⁻¹. The specific growth rate (µₘₐₓ) was 0.1 day⁻¹, determined during the exponential phase (5 to 15 days). The productivity (γ) was 53 mg·L⁻¹·day⁻¹ and the biomass doubling time (Td) 6.93 days.

Franchino et al. (2016) used Chlorella vulgaris in anaerobic digestate obtaining a high removal efficiency (>90%) for nitrogen, ammonia and phosphate, reducing the wastewater toxicity [29]. Other studies using microalgae showed that these microorganisms could be used as a tertiary treatment to decrease the treated wastewater salinity [Di Termini et al., 2011; Wu Y-H, 2013].

In other investigations Scenedesmus was evaluated for nitrogen and phosphorus removal from treated wastewater as well as biomass and lipid productivity, a nutrient removal of 99.9% was obtained and a biomass productivity of 0.25 g·L⁻¹ [Di Termini et al., 2011]. In the work reported by AN Jiet al. (2013) Chlorella vulgaris, Scenedesmus obliquus and Ourococcus multisporus, were studied, as wastewater treatment and lipid production and there was complete removal (>99%) of nitrogen and phosphorus within 4 days. The highest specific lipid productivity was 0.164 g-lipids·g-cell⁻¹·day⁻¹ for C. vulgaris after 7 days of cultivation in the presence of CO₂, shown to be a potential source for biodiesel production and removal of nutrients from wastewater.
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**Figure 5.** Pareto charts of standardized effects. (a) Variable biomass g·L⁻¹. (b) Percentage of internal hydrocarbons. (c) Percentage of external hydrocarbons.
During this study, the total percentage of hydrocarbons extracted after 24 days from the culture was 39.05% of dry biomass; 27.49% of dry biomass of external hydrocarbons and 11.59% of dry biomass of internal hydrocarbons. After 36 days of culturing, total hydrocarbons of 16.95% of dry biomass, external hydrocarbons 13.24% of dry biomass and internal hydrocarbons 3.71% of dry biomass were obtained, and they were within the range reported in the literature. The percentage of total hydrocarbons reduced along the time and a possible cause is the release of oil droplets into the culture medium in the late stationary phase. Besides, many cells are lysed due to cell death releasing the hydrocarbons which can hinder their full recovery, this phenomena was observed in the microscope that presents B. braunii cells at different growth phases: exponential growth phase (5th day) where cells remained intact and green; early stationary phase: oil drops can be seen inside the cells (24th day), during this phase, the oils can be directly extracted from the biomass collected; late stationary phase: oil droplets are released to the liquid media by this period (30th day), becoming more difficult to recover by conventional methods, like filtration or centrifugation. Thus, it is recommended to collect cells after 25 days of culturing.

The novelty of this work was the study with B. braunii that not only produces lipids, but also hydrocarbons, being an alternative source of biofuels. The results obtained in the study, using 50% v/v of secondary treated wastewater (digested sewage) as growth medium, and the optimized B. braunii growth conditions showed that this is a suitable medium for biofuel production using microalgal hydrocarbons.

### 3.4. Hydrocarbons: external and internal composition in the dry biomass of B. braunii

The dried biomass of B. braunii extracted with hexane and a mixture of chloroform and methanol were analyzed. Figure 7 (A and B) shows...
the result of non-polar components internal and external from the cells. The hydrocarbon constituent identification obtained for the treatments A to G, was represented by different concentration and colors. Table 4 expressed total mass percentage for the internal and external content of hydrocarbons, for each treatment.

For these samples, it was found to have components C5, C6, C18, C20, C22, C24, C28 and C32. For biomass and hydrocarbon production, D treatment was the best and the hydrocarbons present in this treatment were, approximately ten times more than treatment B that was the lowest producing this study. For internal hydrocarbons, C28 and C24 were the most abundant. For external hydrocarbons, C28, C6 and C32 were the most abundant. C32 is Botryococcus and other authors also found this kind of hydrocarbons in fraction extracted with heptane [Achitov et al., 2004]. The treatments that received 70 mmolm⁻²·s⁻¹ of light (C and D) accumulated more external hydrocarbons as described by Dayanada et al. (2007). Hydrocarbon content was higher under continuous light, but produced longer carbon chains in 16:8 h light-dark period.

Conclusions

The secondary treated wastewater (digested sewage) can be used as a source of nutrients for biomass production of B. braunii, reducing the cost of the hydrocarbon base biofuel production. The digested sewage is low cost and it can replace 50% (v/v) of fresh water reaching biomass productivity between 53 mg·lip⁻¹·day⁻¹ to 63 mg·lip⁻¹·day⁻¹, representing approximately 1.2 to 1.8 g·L⁻¹ of dry biomass in 15 to 30 days without causing toxicity, besides using BG1, complements nutrients. The culture of B. braunii for 25 days is recommended due to highest hydrocarbon accumulation, otherwise an important biomass increase was observed until the 35th day. The composition of the internal non-polar compounds produced by B. braunii, was hydrocarbons with 24 and 28 carbons, and the external fraction was composed mostly of hydrocarbons of 6, 28 and 32 carbons, thus possessing potential to be used as biofuel.
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