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1. Introduction

The three principal global issues are global warming, air contamination, and fossil fuel dependency. All studies indicate that fossil fuel reserves are being depleted at an alarming rate. Biodiesel is prepared from edible and non-edible vegetable oils which considerably reduces the CO₂ emission when compared to diesel and also it acts as promising fuels in the upcoming decades. The collective solution for the global warming is to find a viable alternative fuel in the form of hydrogen based energy from wind, biomass and other renewable sources (Song and Song 2015). Diesel engines have higher thermal efficiency and emit less CO₂ than gasoline engines, thereby becoming an attractive choice. However, diesel engines emit high levels of NOx and smoke. New technologies are being developed to reduce these emission levels (Shin et al 2011, Sandalc and Karagöz 2014). Many techniques have been developed to meet the restricted emission legislation for the competitive fuel economy, reduce exhaust gas after treatment emissions, and establish optimal combustion. However, the success of these methods is uncertain (Agarwal et al 2011). Biodiesel is produced from sources such as vegetable oils, animal fats or used cooking oils by a process called transesterification. It is an attractive fuel for diesel engines because of its high oxygen content, which enhances its burning efficiency (Boulifi et al 2010, Chaichan and Al Zubaidi 2012). Biodiesel combustion also emits less hydrocarbon (HC), carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM) than diesel. However, biodiesel has lower heat content than diesel resulting in less power, torque, and fuel economy. Furthermore, oxygenated fuels, such as biodiesel, tend to increase nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions. B100 (100% biodiesel) increases NOx emissions by approximately 10% (Chaichan 2015, Lin and Lin 2007). Hydrogen is being recognized as an essential energy carrier for sustained power utilization because it has less negative effect on the environment than commonly used fuels. Hydrogen burning does not produce dangerous substances, such as, HC, CO and sulfur oxides, natural acids or carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Antunes et al 2009). Sometimes, diesel fuel is difficult to ignite, which diminishes the output power. Elimination of the misfire phenomenon can improve the discharged emissions, performance, and fuel economy by adding hydrogen. Numerous researchers have sought to take advantage of the unique properties of hydrogen by adding it to diesel fuel to improve combustion specifications and reduce emissions. Saravanan et al (2008) concluded that NOx levels were reduced by 7.31% and the emissions such as NOx, HC, CO were decreased by 2.22, 10.52 and 95.45%, respectively for 10% EGR at full-load conditions with 10 LPM of hydrogen induction, when compared with diesel operation.
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2. Material and Methods:

Experiments were carried out using four-stroke, single cylinder and stationary compression ignition engine at a constant speed of 1500rpm with the rated power of 5.2KW. The engine temperature is correctly maintained by water-based coolant system. The principle of recirculating the exhaust gases back into the inlet manifold is termed as EGR technique. The exhaust gases mixes with the clean air and gets diluted with the intake charge which in turn acts as diluents and reduces the peak combustion temperature which spontaneously reduces the NOx formation. The experimental set-up for the EGR technique is depicted in Figure 1. The fabrication is done using a designed aluminum chamber. The EGR temperature is reduced to 20 - 30 ºC. The system is designed to give 30% of EGR at maximum. The electronic control valve is used to control the EGR flow. The water-based cooling system is used as shown in Figure 2. In the air intake manifold, the hydrogen gas was inducted from a high pressure cylinder (150 bar). Using a pressure regulator the inducted hydrogen gas pressure was maintained at 1 bar, which was capable of handling pressure up to 280 bar of inlet pressure and 14 bar of outlet pressure. The mass flow rate of hydrogen gas was controlled using a needle valve with a flow range of 0-30 LPM. The hydrogen flow meter worked on the principle of rotameter. The non-return valve was fixed at two dissimilar locations to avoid the back flow of hydrogen gas inside the cylinder. The flame trap was positioned in order to avoid the straight contact of flame with the hydrogen supply line and to quench the fire from the intake manifold in case of backfire. The flame arrester was used to suppress back fire by quenching hydrogen gas into water. The flame trapper and flame arrester with non-return valves were used to ensure the shelter of the experimental set-up. The load was measured by using eddy current dynamometer. The performance parameters were measured by the use of DAQ (Data Acquisition System). To measure the various emission parameters, the setup was equipped with AVL gas analyzer.

The fabrication is done using a designed aluminum chamber. The EGR temperature is reduced to 20 - 30 ºC. The system is designed to give 30% of EGR at maximum. The electronic control valve is used to control the EGR flow. The water-based cooling system is used as shown in Figure 2. In the air intake manifold, the hydrogen gas was inducted from a high pressure cylinder (150 bar). Using a pressure regulator the inducted hydrogen gas pressure was maintained at 1 bar, which was capable of handling pressure up to 280 bar of inlet pressure and 14 bar of outlet pressure. The mass flow rate of hydrogen gas was controlled using a needle valve with a flow range of 0-30 LPM. The hydrogen flow meter worked on the principle of rotameter. The non-return valve was fixed at two dissimilar locations to avoid the back flow of hydrogen gas inside the cylinder. The flame trap was positioned in order to avoid the straight contact of flame with the hydrogen supply line and to quench the fire from the intake manifold in case of backfire. The flame arrester was used to suppress back fire by quenching hydrogen gas into water. The flame trapper and flame arrester with non-return valves were used to ensure the shelter of the experimental set-up. The load was measured by using eddy current dynamometer. The performance parameters were measured by the use of DAQ (Data Acquisition System). To measure the various emission parameters, the setup was equipped with AVL gas analyzer.

3. Results and Discussions

In this work, the performance and emission characteristics of compression ignition fueled by using P. pinnata biodiesel with varying hydrogen mass flow rates and with varying EGR rate were studied. The brake thermal efficiency decreased with increase in the amount of EGR 10, 20 and 30%, respectively as shown in Figures 3a to 3c. The 10% EGR induction reduced the brake thermal efficiency. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 29.07% at 10LPM. An EGR induction of 20% with hydrogen induction at 10 LPM for biodiesel-fueled engine reduced the brake thermal efficiency compared with 10% EGR. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 29.07% at 10LPM. An EGR induction of 30% reduced the brake thermal efficiency to a very low value. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 28.98% at 10 LPM. The optimal value was 10 and 20% EGR because the brake thermal efficiency reduced further lower with 30% EGR. The 10% EGR induction reduced the brake thermal efficiency. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 29.07% at 10LPM. An EGR induction of 20% with hydrogen induction at 10 LPM for biodiesel-fueled engine reduced the brake thermal efficiency compared with 10% EGR. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 29.07% at 10LPM. An EGR induction of 30% reduced the brake thermal efficiency to a very low value. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 28.98% at 10 LPM, respectively. The optimal value was 10% and 20% EGR because the brake thermal efficiency reduced further lower with 30% EGR.
The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 29.07% at 10 LPM. An EGR induction of 30% reduced the brake thermal efficiency to a very low value. The brake thermal efficiency for 100% load was 28.98% at 10 LPM. The optimal value was 10 and 20% EGR because the brake thermal efficiency reduced further lower with 30% EGR. The brake thermal efficiency of 10% of EGR with hydrogen induction was 30.92% at 80% load similar to brake thermal efficiency of diesel, which was 32.23% at same loading conditions. So the increase in EGR amount would ultimately decrease the brake thermal efficiency due to lack of oxygen during combustion.

An induction of 10% EGR with biodiesel and hydrogen induction increased the brake specific energy consumption compared with other fuels. As shown in Figure 4a, the energy consumption for 100% load with 10 LPM was 11948.22 kJ/kWh. The 20% EGR induction increased the energy consumption further compared with 10% EGR induction. As shown in Figure 4b, the energy consumption was 12383.90 kJ/kWh for 100% load at 10 LPM. The energy consumption for biodiesel with hydrogen induction for 30% EGR consumed more energy compared with all other conditions. The reason for reduction of brake specific fuel consumption was complete combustion occurring in dual fuel operation of hydrogen induction with biodiesel.

Exhaust temperature is the temperature produced due to combustion in the engine. EGR reduced the combustion rate. The cold EGR was used in this experiment. EGR temperature was reduced to 30°C with the help of water-based cooling system. A 10% EGR with hydrogen induction at 10 LPM for biodiesel provided 485°C at full-load conditions. An induction of 20% EGR with hydrogen induction at 10 LPM for biodiesel provided 465°C at full-load conditions. 30% of EGR induction with hydrogen induction at 10 LPM for biodiesel provided 419°C at full-load conditions. The EGR technique decreased the exhaust temperature due to the minimum amount of oxygen during combustion and caused lower energy released by hydrogen.

EGR is one of the emission reduction techniques for NOx in internal combustion engines. Cold EGR is used for safety as hydrogen is also inducted in the same air intake manifold. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel-fueled engine with 10% EGR decreased the NOx emission due to lower peak combustion temperature as shown in Figure 5a. A maximum NOx of 615 ppm could be obtained at 100% load for 10 LPM hydrogen induction with 10% EGR. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel-fueled engine with 20% EGR decreased the combustion temperature further than 10% EGR. Figure 5b shows the variation in NOx emission for hydrogen induction with 20% EGR. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided 607...
ppm at 100% load, respectively. Figure 5c illustrated the NO\textsubscript{X} emission for 30% EGR with hydrogen induction. A maximum NO\textsubscript{X} of 593 ppm was obtained at 100% load for 10 LPM hydrogen induction with 30% EGR.

Fig. 5b Load Vs NO\textsubscript{X} for biodiesel with hydrogen induction for 20% EGR

Fig. 5c Load Vs NO\textsubscript{X} for biodiesel with hydrogen induction for 30% EGR

Fig. 5d Load Vs HC for biodiesel with hydrogen induction for 10% EGR

The formation of NO\textsubscript{X} greatly depends upon the combustion temperature. After the addition of hydrogen, the combustion temperature increases with increased NO\textsubscript{X} formation.

Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided 53 ppm at 100% load. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel fueled engine with 30% EGR decreased the combustion temperature further than 20% EGR. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided 63 ppm at full-loading conditions. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided 53 ppm at 100% load. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel fueled engine with 30% EGR decreased the combustion temperature further than 20% EGR. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided 63 ppm at full-loading conditions. A maximum HC of 70 ppm was obtained at 100% load for 2 LPM hydrogen induction with 30% EGR. Cold EGR technique increased the HC emission at 10 LPM compared to neat diesel operation due to insufficient oxygen during combustion.

The EGR increased the amount of HC emission as shown in Figures 5d and 5e. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel-fueled engine with 10% EGR decreased the combustion temperature. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided HC of 34 ppm at 100% load. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel-fueled engine with 20% EGR decreased the combustion temperature. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel-fueled engine with 10% EGR decreased the CO emission due to the absence of carbon in hydrogen fuel. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided 0.03% by volume at 100% loading conditions. Hydrogen induction to the biodiesel-fueled engine with 20% EGR decreased CO emission. Hydrogen induction at 10 LPM provided CO to 0.03 % by volume at 100% load. The carbon monoxide emission decreased due to the absence of carbon in hydrogen fuel.

4. Conclusions

The EGR reduced brake thermal efficiency by 1.31%, 2.06% and 2.65% respectively for 10%, 20% and 30% EGR, respectively compared with that of diesel, because of a decrease in combustion due to the addition of already burned inert gas as EGR. The brake specific energy consumption reduced by 588.17 kJ/kWh, 1023.85 kJ/kWh and 1062.31 kJ/kWh respectively for 10, 20 and 30% of EGR. The exhaust temperature was reduced by 38, 74 and 120°C for 10, 20 and 30% of EGR, respectively. The reduction in combustion temperature due to EGR addition resulted in NO\textsubscript{X} reduction. HC decreased by 4 ppm for 10% EGR compared with diesel. The reduction of CO emission was found, when compared to diesel operation due to the addition of carbon-free hydrogen fuel. The reason for reduction in CO\textsubscript{2} emission was due to the absence of carbon in hydrogen and lower carbon content in biodiesel.
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